UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/569,221	02/22/2006	David E. Graham	MSH-269US	9425	
8131 MCKELLAR II	7590 09/29/201 P LAW. PLLC	0	EXAMINER		
784 SOUTH PO	SEYVILLE ROAD		METZMAIER, DANIEL S		
MIDLAND, MI	1 48640		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/569,221		GRAHAM, DAVID E.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			

		Daniel S. Metzmaier		1796						
The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.										
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but pri application, applicant must timely file one of the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	ior to or on e following r ice of Appe	the same day as filing a Ne replies: (1) an amendment, al (with appeal fee) in com	otice of a affidavi apliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the reb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing d no event, however, will the statutory period for response to the restimated to the response to the response to the response to the r	late of this Adeply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date tter than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MF Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the junder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	PEP 706.07(f). The date of period of extended date of the se e Office later). on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for r	CFR 1.1 amount eply origi	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL				eu						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A bri filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), o Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	or any exter	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS										
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final (a) They raise new issues that would require	further cor	sideration and/or search (cause					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see No. 1) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or 		•	erially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without ca NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 3	_	· -	nally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 3		, ,,	Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re					,					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w non-allowable claim(s). 	vould be all	owable if submitted in a se		•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be reject the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as followed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 13 and 15.	cted is prov		o) 🗌 wil	l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: 2,10,12,14,16-18,24-30 and Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19 and										
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		. h . f	limara Nia		. h. a. a. a. a. a. a. a.					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(of good and									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the da entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is 	e failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections unde	er appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	explanatior	n of the status of the claims	after ei	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been con See Continuation Sheet. 	sidered but	does NOT place the appli	cation in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.	tement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
		/Daniel S. Metzn	naier/							
		Primary Examine		nit 1796						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment is informal as a non-compliant amendment. New claim 36 is broader than the previous independent claim 33, which it proposes to replace and was rejected in the Final Office Action. Said changes would raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the declaration has not been considered persuasive since the Klomp reference teaches contacting with thr same branched polymers. The declaration is an oppinion declaration, which is self serving. Furthermore, the declaration does not provide evidence or address the anti-agglomeration function in combination with the inhibiting function of contacting the fluids with the branched polymers in the Klomp process. Furthermore, the Klomp reference seeds the fluids in example 1, page 9. Lastly, the declaration does not address the fact that the branched polymers would have been expected to have some threshold value where there is insufficient polymer present to function as an anti-agglomerating agent or an inhibitor.